

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,006	12/28/2001		Hitoshi Shindo	461-43 3756	
23117	7590	07/05/2005		EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR				VIJAYAKUMAR, KALLAMBELLA M	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1751

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	80				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/029,006	SHINDO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Kallambella Vijayakumar	1751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
Status —		,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ma	arch 2005.				
<del></del>	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,5,14,15,18,27,29,30 and 32 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,14,15,18,27 and 30 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 5,29 and 32 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		7.63.67.67.67.77.7.6			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>Λ</b> □ •	(DTO 440)			
Notice of References Cited (P10-892)	4)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office	٠ <u>, ١</u> ٥٠١١٠٠				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 1751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- Claims 1, 5, 14-15, 18, 27, 29-30 and 32 are currently pending with the application.
- Rejection of Claims 1, 5, 27 and 29 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-17 and 21-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,571 is withdrawn over the amendments to the claims.
- A telephone call to Mr. Arthur Crawford to condition the application for allowance did not materialize in its allowance.
- The indicated allowability of claims 14 and 18 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s): Uchiyama et al (JP 11-066,952). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follows.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 14, 15, 27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "mainly" in claim 1, 14, 15, 27 and 30 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "mainly" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would

not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is not clear what percentages of the cooperative material with Pb(Zr,Ti)O<sub>3</sub> structure are encompassed by this limitation. The examiner construes this to be more than 50% by weight of the cooperative material for the purposes of the examination.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Uchiyama et al (JP 11-066,952).

The use of phrase "for constituting electrode layers.....and baking the laminate" in the claim 14 has not been treated with patentability. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

The examiner construes this language as "Intended Use" and not treated with merits for patentability.

The prior art teaches a composition of the conductive paste comprising (A). 70 wt% of a conductive powder containing (i). 100 pts wt (pbw) **CuO** as principal component containing less than 10 wt% **Cu** powder and (ii). 0.5-7 pbw **zirconium oxide** <cooperative material>, and (B). 30 wt% organic vehicle comprising ethyl cellulose in terpeniol (Abstract, Para 0024, 0028, 0044, 0048, Table-1, Sample-11). This translates to 65.4-69.7wt% (CuO+Cu) and 0.4-4.9 wt% ZrO<sub>2</sub> in the paste composition that meets the component ratios in the claims. All the limitations of the instant claims are met.

The reference is anticipatory.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 27 and 30 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 5, 29, and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim/s.

Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and upon overcoming rejections under 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph as set forth in this office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record neither teaches nor fairly suggestive of an electrode paste comprising

Art Unit: 1751

CuO and Pb(ZrTi)O<sub>3</sub> or CuO+Cu and Pb(ZrTi)O<sub>3</sub> with the specific ratios of the components in the composition per the applicants. The closest prior art of record by Sunhara et al (US 4,985,376) teaches a Au-electrode paste containing Pb-perovskite adhesion promoters, but it does not teach or suggestive of a CuO electrode paste of the applicants.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kallambella Vijayakumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1324. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 07.00 - 16.30 hrs, Alt. Fri: 07.00-15.30 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KMV June 15, 2005

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner